

A NEW PROOF OF THE GITIK-SHELAH THEOREM

BY

ANASTASIS KAMBURELIS

Mathematical Institute, University of Wrocław, Pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland

ABSTRACT

A purely combinatorial proof of a recent result of M. Gitik and S. Shelah is presented.

In this note we present a purely combinatorial proof of a recent result of M. Gitik and S. Shelah. For a cardinal λ , let 2^λ be the usual product space. Denote by \mathbb{B}_λ the σ -field generated by the basic open sets in 2^λ . Let \mathbb{K}_λ and \mathbb{L}_λ denote, respectively, the ideals of meagre and measure zero sets in 2^λ .

THEOREM (Gitik, Shelah [GS]). *Let I be a nonprincipal, κ -complete ideal on a regular, uncountable cardinal κ . If for some $\lambda \geq \aleph_0$ the Boolean algebra $P(\kappa)/I$ is isomorphic either to $\mathbb{B}_\lambda/\mathbb{K}_\lambda$ or to $\mathbb{B}_\lambda/\mathbb{L}_\lambda$, then $\lambda \geq \kappa^+$.*

Our formulation is slightly different from that of [GS] (compare Corollary 1.4 and Theorem 2.6 in [GS]). The proof in [GS] uses metamathematical techniques like forcing and generic ultrapowers. I have received a letter from Professor D. H. Fremlin with another combinatorial proof of the Gitik-Shelah theorem.

§0. Preliminaries

We fix the notation and recall some definitions. **Ord** is the class of all ordinal numbers in the sense of von Neumann.

Let I be an ideal on cardinal κ . We consider only nontrivial ideals, i.e. $\emptyset \in I$ and $\kappa \notin I$. I is *nonprincipal* iff $[\kappa]^{<\omega} \subseteq I$; I is *uniform* iff $[\kappa]^{<\kappa} \subseteq I$; I is κ -*complete* iff $\bigcup \mathcal{A} \in I$ for each $\mathcal{A} \subseteq I$ such that $|\mathcal{A}| < \kappa$; I is a σ -*ideal* iff I is \aleph_1 -complete. We let $I^+ = \{A \subseteq X : A \notin I\}$ and $I^c = \{A \subseteq X : X \setminus A \in I\}$.

Received March 22, 1990

Let X be an arbitrary set. For $D \subseteq \kappa \times X$, $\alpha < \kappa$ and $x \in X$ we let $D_\alpha = \{x \in X : \langle \alpha, x \rangle \in D\}$ and $D^x = \{\alpha < \kappa : \langle \alpha, x \rangle \in D\}$.

We sometimes abuse the above notation by treating a κ -sequence $\langle D_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ of subsets of X as a single $D \subseteq \kappa \times X$.

Assume that I is an ideal on κ and J is an ideal on X . We define two ideals on $\kappa \times X$. For $D \subseteq \kappa \times X$:

$$D \in I \times J \quad \text{iff } \{\alpha < \kappa : D_\alpha \in J^+\} \in I,$$

$$D \in (J \times I)^T \quad \text{iff } \{x \in X : D^x \in I^+\} \in J.$$

For $S \subseteq \lambda$ we have a continuous projection $\pi_S : 2^\lambda \rightarrow 2^S$ given by $\pi_S(x) = x|_S$. If $B \in \mathbb{B}_\lambda$ then there exist a countable set $S \subseteq \lambda$ and a Borel set $A \subseteq 2^S$ such that $B = \pi_S^{-1}[A]$. We call any such S a *support* of B . Similarly, if $T : 2^\lambda \rightarrow 2^\omega$ is \mathbb{B}_λ -measurable, then there exist a countable set $S \subseteq \lambda$ and a Borel function $\dot{T} : 2^S \rightarrow 2^\omega$ such that $T(x) = \dot{T}(x|_S)$ for every $x \in 2^\lambda$. We call S a *support* of T .

We shall say that an ideal J on 2^λ has *base in* \mathbb{B}_λ if for each $A \in J$ there is some $B \in J \cap \mathbb{B}_\lambda$ such that $A \subseteq B$.

\mathbb{K}_λ and \mathbb{L}_λ are the σ -ideals with base in \mathbb{B}_λ .

For a σ -ideal with base in \mathbb{B}_λ and $S \subseteq \lambda$ we let

$$J_S = \{A \subseteq 2^S : \text{for some } B \in \mathbb{B}_S, A \subseteq B \text{ and } \pi_S^{-1}[B] \in J\}.$$

Then J_S is a σ -ideal on 2^S with base in \mathbb{B}_S .

By $ID(\kappa, I)$ we denote the assertion that κ is a regular, uncountable cardinal and that I is a nonprincipal, κ -complete ideal on κ . We define three properties:

QMC(κ, I) iff $ID(\kappa, I)$ and $P(\kappa)/I$ satisfies the c.c.c.

BTC(κ, I) iff $ID(\kappa, I)$ and for some λ , $P(\kappa)/I \cong \mathbb{B}_\lambda/\mathbb{K}_\lambda$.

RVM(κ, I) iff $ID(\kappa, I)$ and for some λ , $P(\kappa)/I \cong \mathbb{B}_\lambda/\mathbb{L}_\lambda$.

Let us briefly sketch the main idea of the proof of Theorem. Let I be an ideal satisfying the assumptions of Theorem. We look for ideals J satisfying the following *Product Property*: $I \times J \subseteq (J \times I)^T$. In §1 we show that this property holds for the ideals of meagre and measure zero sets. In §2 we prove that if J satisfies the Product Property then there are no large, J -almost disjoint families of functions. On the other hand, in §3 we produce some large ADF from the assumption that $\lambda \leq \kappa$.

§1. Product property for category and measure

LEMMA 1. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $I \times J \subseteq (J \times I)^T$.
- (2) $\{\emptyset\} \times J \subseteq (J \times I)^T$.
- (3) *If $Y \in J^+$ and $\{C_x : x \in Y\} \subseteq I^+$ then there exists $Z \subseteq Y$ such that $Z \in J^+$ and $\bigcap_{x \in Z} C_x \neq \emptyset$.*

PROOF. An easy verification. □

PROPOSITION 2. *Assume $\text{BTC}(\kappa, I)$. Then $I \times \mathbb{K}_\omega \subseteq (\mathbb{K}_\omega \times I)^T$.*

PROOF. Assume that for some λ , $P(\kappa)/I \cong \mathbb{B}_\lambda/\mathbb{K}_\lambda$.

It is easy to observe that it suffices to prove the following:

If $G \subseteq \kappa \times 2^\omega$ is such that for $\alpha < \kappa$, G_α is dense open in 2^ω , then the set $\{x \in 2^\omega : G^x \in I^c\}$ is a dense \mathcal{G}_δ in 2^ω .

Let $\{I_n : n < \omega\}$ be an enumeration of all nonempty, basic open sets in 2^ω . Set $K_n = \{\alpha < \kappa : I_n \subseteq G_\alpha\}$. Then $G = \bigcup_{n < \omega} K_n \times I_n$. For $x \in 2^\omega$ we have $G^x = \bigcup \{K_n : n < \omega \text{ and } x \in I_n\}$.

Consider the set $\{K_n/I : n < \omega\} \subseteq P(\kappa)/I$. As is known, each countably generated subalgebra of $\mathbb{B}_\lambda/\mathbb{K}_\lambda$ has a countable dense set. Hence, there exists a family $\{A_m : m < \omega\} \subseteq I^+$ such that for all $x \in 2^\omega$ we have: $\sum \{K_n/I : n < \omega \text{ and } x \in I_n\} = 1$ iff for all $m < \omega$ there exists $n < \omega$ such that $x \in I_n$ and $K_n \cap A_m \in I^+$.

For $m < \omega$ let $H_m = \bigcup \{I_n : n < \omega \text{ and } K_n \cap A_m \in I^+\}$. Then

$$\{x \in 2^\omega : G^x \in I^c\} = \bigcap_{m < \omega} H_m.$$

So we complete the proof if we show that each H_m is dense in 2^ω . Fix $m < \omega$ and let J be an arbitrary, nonempty, open set. We shall show that $J \cap H_m \neq \emptyset$. As each G_α is dense open, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= \{\alpha < \kappa : G_\alpha \cap J \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \bigcup_{n < \omega} \{\alpha < \kappa : I_n \subseteq G_\alpha \cap J\} \\ &= \bigcup \{K_n : n < \omega \text{ and } I_n \subseteq J\}. \end{aligned}$$

But $A_m \in I^+$. Hence, for some $n < \omega$ with $I_n \subseteq J$ we must have $K_n \cap A_m \in I^+$. So $I_n \subseteq J \cap H_m$ and $J \cap H_m \neq \emptyset$ as required. □

PROPOSITION 3. (Kunen) *Assume $\text{RVM}(\kappa, I)$. Then $I \times \mathbb{L}_\omega \subseteq (\mathbb{L}_\omega \times I)^T$.*

PROOF. By the assumption there exists a nontrivial, κ -additive measure $m: P(\kappa) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $I = \{A \subseteq \kappa: m(A) = 0\}$. Let λ be the Lebesgue measure on 2^ω and assume that $D \subseteq \kappa \times 2^\omega$ is such that for $\alpha < \kappa$, $\lambda(D_\alpha) = 0$. For $m < \omega$ we can find a set $G(m) \subseteq \kappa \times 2^\omega$ such that for $\alpha < \kappa$, $G(m)_\alpha$ is an open set of Lebesgue measure $\leq 1/(m+1)$ and $D_\alpha \subseteq G(m)_\alpha$. Let $H = \bigcap_{m < \omega} G(m)$. Denote by $m \times \lambda$ the product measure defined on the σ -field $P(\kappa) \times \mathbb{B}_\omega$.

First observe that $H \in P(\kappa) \times \mathbb{B}_\omega$. For let $\{I_n: n < \omega\}$ be an enumeration of all basic open sets in 2^ω . For $m, n < \omega$ let $K_n^m = \{\alpha < \kappa: I_n \subseteq G(m)_\alpha\}$. Then

$$H = \bigcap_{m < \omega} \bigcup_{n < \omega} K_n^m \times I_n.$$

By the Fubini Theorem $(m \times \lambda)(H) = 0$ and $\lambda(\{x \in 2^\omega: m(H^x) > 0\}) = 0$. Hence, $\{x \in 2^\omega: D^x \in I^+\} \in \mathbb{L}_\omega$ as required. \square

The next two lemmas allow us to extend Propositions 2 and 3 to the spaces 2^μ for infinite $\mu < \kappa$.

LEMMA 4. *Assume $\text{QMC}(\kappa, I)$ and $\omega \leq \mu < \kappa$. Let $\{S_\alpha: \alpha < \kappa\} \subseteq [\mu]^\omega$. Then there exists $S \in [\mu]^\omega$ such that $\{\alpha < \kappa: S_\alpha \subseteq S\} \in I^c$.*

PROOF. Enumerate each S_α as $\{\beta_n^\alpha: n < \omega\}$. For each $n < \omega$ the function $\alpha \mapsto \beta_n^\alpha$ splits κ into $\leq \mu$ parts. By the c.c.c. and κ -completeness of I , there is $T_n \in [\mu]^\omega$ such that $\{\alpha < \kappa: \beta_n^\alpha \in T_n\} \in I^c$. Now set $S = \bigcup_{n < \omega} T_n$. \square

LEMMA 5. *Assume $\text{QMC}(\kappa, I)$ and let J be a σ -ideal on 2^κ with base in \mathbb{B}_κ . If for each countable $S \subseteq \kappa$, $I \times J_S \subseteq (J_S \times I)^T$ then, for each infinite $\mu < \kappa$, $I \times J_\mu \subseteq (J_\mu \times I)^T$.*

PROOF. Routine. Use the fact that each set from \mathbb{B}_μ has a countable support and apply Lemma 4. \square

COROLLARY 6. (1) *Assume $\text{BTC}(\kappa, I)$ and $\omega \leq \mu < \kappa$. Then $I \times \mathbb{K}_\mu \subseteq (\mathbb{K}_\mu \times I)^T$.*
 (2) *Assume $\text{RVM}(\kappa, I)$ and $\omega \leq \mu < \kappa$. Then $I \times \mathbb{L}_\mu \subseteq (\mathbb{L}_\mu \times I)^T$.* \square

We cannot have $\mu = \kappa$ in Corollary 6 because of the following counterexample: Let $\{X_\alpha: \alpha < \kappa\}$ be any partition of κ such that $|X_\alpha| = \aleph_0$ for $\alpha < \kappa$. For $\alpha < \kappa$ we let

$$B_\alpha = \{z \in 2^\kappa: (\forall \beta \in X_\alpha)(z(\beta) = 0)\}.$$

Then $B_\alpha \in \mathbb{B}_\kappa$ and B_α is closed, nowhere dense and of measure zero. Let $H = \{z \in 2^\kappa : (\exists \alpha < \kappa)(\forall \beta > \alpha)(z(\beta) = 0)\}$. Observe that if $z \in H$ then $z \in B_\alpha$ for all but $< \kappa$ α 's. By the uniformity of I ,

$$H \subseteq \{z \in 2^\kappa : B^z \in I^c\} \subseteq \{z \in 2^\kappa : B^z \in I^+\}.$$

On the other hand, if $S \in [\kappa]^\omega$, $D \subseteq 2^S$ and $D \neq 2^S$ then H is not contained in $\{z \in 2^\kappa : z|S \in D\}$. This implies that $H \notin \mathbb{K}_\kappa \cup \mathbb{L}_\kappa$.

§2. Product property implies that there are no large ADF

To the end of this paragraph we assume that I is an ideal on κ and J is an ideal on some set X .

LEMMA 7. Assume that I is uniform and $I \times J \subseteq (J \times I)^T$. Let $\{z_\beta : \beta < \kappa\} \subseteq X$. If $A \subseteq \kappa$ and $\{z_\beta : \beta \in A\} \in J^+$, then for some $\alpha < \kappa$, $\{z_\beta : \beta \in A \cap \alpha\} \in J^+$.

PROOF. Assume otherwise. For $\alpha < \kappa$ let $D_\alpha = \{z_\beta : \beta \in A \cap \alpha\}$. Then $D \in I \times J$. Since D_α 's are increasing, for $\beta \in A$, z_β belongs to almost all D_α 's. Hence $\{z_\beta : \beta \in A\} \subseteq \{x \in X : |\kappa \setminus D^x| < \kappa\}$. By uniformity, $\{x \in X : |\kappa \setminus D^x| < \kappa\} \subseteq \{x \in X : D^x \in I^+\}$. But this contradicts that $D \in (J \times I)^T$. \square

LEMMA 8. Assume that $|X| < \kappa$ and that I is κ -complete. Then $(J \times I)^T \subseteq I \times J$.

PROOF. Assume that $D \in ((\emptyset) \times I)^T$. Hence, for every $x \in X$, $D^x \in I$. As $|X| < \kappa$ and I is κ -complete, we have $\bigcup_{x \in X} D^x \in I$. But obviously

$$\{\alpha < \kappa : D_\alpha \in J^+\} \subseteq \{\alpha < \kappa : D_\alpha \neq \emptyset\} \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in X} D^x.$$

Hence $D \in I \times J$. \square

DEFINITION. A family \mathcal{F} of functions on X is said to be *J-almost disjoint* iff for each distinct $T, T' \in \mathcal{F}$, $\{x \in X : T(x) = T'(x)\} \in J$.

If $\mathcal{F} \subseteq {}^X \text{Ord}$ then we shall say that \mathcal{F} is (J, κ, κ) -concentrated iff there exists a $Y \in J^+$, $|Y| \leq \kappa$ such that $\{x \in Y : T(x) < \kappa\} \in J^+$ for all $T \in \mathcal{F}$. This may be viewed as a generalization of $\mathcal{F} \subseteq {}^\kappa \kappa$.

PROPOSITION 9. Assume that I is nonprincipal, κ -complete and $I \times J \subseteq (J \times I)^T$. If $\mathcal{F} \subseteq {}^X \text{Ord}$ is *J-almost disjoint* and (J, κ, κ) -concentrated family, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \kappa$.

PROOF. Assume to the contrary that $|\mathcal{F}| > \kappa$. Let $Y \in J^+$ be such that $|Y| \leq \kappa$ and for all $T \in \mathcal{F}$, $\{x \in Y : T(x) < \kappa\} \in J^+$. By the assumptions on I , κ is a reg-

ular cardinal. Enumerating Y , using Lemma 7 and shrinking \mathfrak{F} if necessary, we see that we may assume without loss of generality that $|Y| < \kappa$.

For $T \in \mathfrak{F}$, $\kappa \cap T[Y]$ is bounded in κ . Shrinking \mathfrak{F} again, we may pick $\eta < \kappa$ such that for $T \in \mathfrak{F}$, $\kappa \cap T[Y] \subseteq \eta$, hence

$$\{x \in Y: T(x) < \eta\} = \{x \in Y: T(x) < \kappa\} \in J^+.$$

From now on we fix distinct $T_\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}$, $\alpha < \kappa$.

For $\alpha < \kappa$ we let $D_\alpha = \{x \in Y: T_\alpha(x) < \eta\}$. Then $D \notin I \times J$. Using Lemma 8 for the ideal $\{Z \subseteq Y: Z \in J\}$, we obtain that

$$W = \{x \in Y: \{\alpha < \kappa: T_\alpha(x) < \eta\} \in I^+\} \in J^+.$$

The κ -completeness of I allows us to define a function $h: W \rightarrow \eta$ such that for $x \in W$, $\{\alpha < \kappa: T_\alpha(x) = h(x)\} \in I^+$.

As I is nonprincipal we can apply Lemma 1.3 twice and obtain $Z \subseteq W$, $Z \in J^+$ such that $|\bigcap_{x \in Z} \{\alpha < \kappa: T_\alpha(x) = h(x)\}| \geq 2$. This gives us two distinct $\alpha, \alpha' < \kappa$ such that $Z \subseteq \{x \in X: T_\alpha(x) = T_{\alpha'}(x)\}$. But this gives a contradiction, since \mathfrak{F} is J -almost disjoint. \square

§3. $\lambda \leq \kappa$ implies the existence of large ADF

To the end of this section we assume that J is a σ -ideal on 2^λ with base in \mathbb{B}_λ . The next lemma is essentially a theorem of Sikorski on inducing σ -homomorphisms applied to our case.

LEMMA 10. *Assume that I is a σ -ideal on κ and $\psi: \mathbb{B}_\lambda/J \rightarrow P(\kappa)/I$ is an isomorphism. Then:*

- (1) *There exists a function $R: \kappa \rightarrow 2^\lambda$ such that ψ is induced by R , i.e. for each $B \in \mathbb{B}_\lambda$, $\psi(B/J) = R^{-1}[B]/I$.*
- (2) *If $A \in I^+$ then $\{R(\beta): \beta \in A\} \in J^+$; in particular, for each $S \subseteq \lambda$, $\{R(\beta): S \subseteq \beta \in A\} \in J_S^+$.*
- (3) *If $f: \kappa \rightarrow 2^\omega$, then there exists a \mathbb{B}_λ -measurable function $T_f: 2^\lambda \rightarrow 2^\omega$ such that $\{\beta < \kappa: T_f(R(\beta)) = f(\beta)\} \in I^c$.*
- (4) *If $f, g: \kappa \rightarrow 2^\omega$ and $\{\beta < \kappa: f(\beta) = g(\beta)\} \in I$ then*

$$\{x \in 2^\lambda: T_f(x) = T_g(x)\} \in J.$$

PROOF. We only sketch the proof, leaving some details to the reader. First observe that if $\lambda < \omega$, then $J = \{\emptyset\}$ and the Lemma follows by easy cardinal arguments. So let us assume that $\lambda \geq \omega$.

(1) For $\delta < \lambda$ pick sets $E_\delta \subseteq \kappa$ such that $\psi(\{x \in 2^\lambda : x(\delta) = 1\}/J) = E_\delta/I$. Define $R : \kappa \rightarrow 2^\lambda$ as follows:

$$R(\beta)(\delta) = 1 \quad \text{iff } \beta \in E_\delta, \quad \text{for } \beta < \kappa \quad \text{and} \quad \delta < \lambda.$$

Using the σ -completeness of ideals, it is easy to prove by induction on the complexity of B that ψ is induced by R .

(2) This follows from (1) and the definition of J_S .

(3) For $n < \omega$ pick sets $B_n \in \mathbb{B}_\lambda$ such that

$$\psi(B_n/J) = \{\beta < \kappa : f(\beta)(n) = 1\}/I.$$

Define $T_f : 2^\lambda \rightarrow 2^\omega$ by the formula: $T_f(x)(n) = 1$ iff $x \in B_n$, for $x \in 2^\lambda$ and $n < \omega$.

(4) We have $\{x \in 2^\lambda : T_f(x) = T_g(x)\} \in \mathbb{B}_\lambda$. Now use (1) and (3). \square

PROPOSITION 11. *Assume that I is a uniform σ -ideal on $\kappa \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ and $P(\kappa)/I \cong \mathbb{B}_\lambda/J$ for some $\lambda \leq \kappa$. Then there exist $\mu < \kappa$ ($\mu \leq \lambda$) and $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq 2^\mu$ **Ord** such that $|\mathfrak{F}| > \kappa$ and \mathfrak{F} is a J_μ -almost disjoint, (J_μ, κ, κ) -concentrated family.*

PROOF. As $\kappa \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ we fix $E \subseteq 2^\omega$ so that $|E| = \kappa$.

It is well known that there exists a family $\mathcal{G} \subseteq {}^\kappa E$ which is $[\kappa]^{<\kappa}$ -almost disjoint and such that $|\mathcal{G}| > \kappa$.

Fix an isomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{B}_\lambda/J \rightarrow P(\kappa)/I$ and let $R : \kappa \rightarrow 2^\lambda$ be a function given by Lemma 10(1). Using Lemma 10(3), for each $f \in \mathcal{G}$ we pick a \mathbb{B}_λ -measurable function $T_f : 2^\lambda \rightarrow 2^\omega$ such that $\{\beta < \kappa : T_f(R(\beta)) = f(\beta)\} \in I^c$. We also pick a countable $S_f \subseteq \lambda$ such that S_f is a support of T_f . By the assumption on I , the cofinality of κ is uncountable, so each S_f is bounded in κ . Hence, by shrinking \mathcal{G} , we can find $\mu < \kappa$ ($\mu \leq \lambda$) such that $S_f \subseteq \mu$ for each $f \in \mathcal{G}$. Now pick functions $\dot{T}_f : 2^\mu \rightarrow 2^\omega$ such that $T_f(x) = \dot{T}_f(x|_\mu)$ for $x \in 2^\lambda$. Set $\mathfrak{F} = \{\dot{T}_f : f \in \mathcal{G}\}$.

We claim that \mathfrak{F} has the required properties. By the uniformity of I and Lemma 10(4), we see that \mathfrak{F} is J_μ -almost disjoint and $|\mathfrak{F}| > \kappa$. Consider $Y = \{R(\beta)|_\mu : \beta < \kappa\} \subseteq 2^\mu$. Then $Y \in J_\mu^+$ by Lemma 10(2) and for $f \in \mathcal{G}$, $\{R(\beta)|_\mu : \beta < \kappa \text{ and } \dot{T}_f(R(\beta)|_\mu) \in E\} \in J_\mu^+$.

Now we may treat 2^ω as an ordinal number with the set E coinciding with κ . Hence, $\{x \in Y : \dot{T}_f(x) < \kappa\} \in J_\mu^+$. Thus \mathfrak{F} is (J_μ, κ, κ) -concentrated. \square

§4. Proof of Theorem

Assume to the contrary that $\lambda \leq \kappa$ and let $J = \mathbb{K}_\kappa$ or $J = \mathbb{L}_\kappa$, depending on whether $P(\kappa)/I \cong \mathbb{B}_\lambda/\mathbb{K}_\lambda$ or $P(\kappa)/I \cong \mathbb{B}_\lambda/\mathbb{L}_\lambda$. By Proposition 11, there exist $\mu < \kappa$ and a

family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq {}^{2^\mu}\mathbf{Ord}$ such that $|\mathcal{F}| > \kappa$ and \mathcal{F} is J_μ -almost disjoint and (J_μ, κ, κ) -concentrated. By Corollary 6, $I \times J_\mu \subseteq (J_\mu \times I)^T$. Hence, by Proposition 9, we conclude that $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \kappa$; a contradiction. \square

One could try to improve Theorem in various directions. As noticed in [GS] one cannot conclude that $\lambda \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$.

PROBLEM. Under the assumptions of Theorem: Is it consistent that $\lambda < 2^{\aleph_0}$ and λ is a regular cardinal?

Added in proof. The referee remarks that the answer to this problem is: Yes. Let κ be measurable and $2^{\kappa^{+\omega}} > \kappa^{+\omega+1}$. Add $\kappa^{+\omega+1}$ Cohen or random reals.

REFERENCE

[GS] M. Gitik and S. Shelah, *Forcings with ideals and simple forcing notions*, Isr. J. Math. **68** (1989), 129–160.